arXiv:1701.05542v1 [math.CA] 19 Jan 2017

New Properties of the Zeros of Certain Nonclassical Orthogonal Polynomials

Oksana Bihun[1] and Clark Mourning
Department of Mathematics
University of Colorado, Colorado Springs
1420 Austin Bluffs Pkwy
Colorado Springs, CO 80918, USA

Abstract

We propose new and remarkable algebraic identities satisfied by the zeros of certain nonclassical orthogonal polynomials. To prove the identities, we generalize the notion of spectral and pseudospectral matrix representations of linear differential operators. The identities hold for every polynomial family $\{p_\nu(x)\}_{\nu=0}^{\infty}$ orthogonal with respect to a measure supported on the real line that satisfies some standard assumptions, as long as the polynomials in the family are generalized eigenfunctions of some linear differential operator $\mathcal{D}$, in the sense that $\mathcal{D}p_\nu(x) = q_\nu(x)p_\nu(x)$, where each $q_\nu(x)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and n_0 does not depend on ν. We illustrate the general result by proving remarkable algebraic relations satisfied by the zeros of the Sonin-Markov orthogonal polynomials.

Keywords: Zeros of polynomials; Nonclassical orthogonal polynomials; Spectral methods; Pseudospectral methods; Sonin-Markov polynomials; Generalized Hermite polynomials.
MSC: 33C45, 33C47, 26C10, 65L60

1 Introduction and Main Results

In this paper we identify a new class of remarkable algebraic relations satisfied by the zeros of certain nonclassical orthogonal polynomials. Our general result holds for all polynomials $\{p_\nu(x)\}_{\nu=0}^{\infty}$ orthogonal with respect to a measure satisfying some standard assumptions, as long as these polynomials form a family of generalized eigenfunctions of a linear differential operator. We illustrate the general result by proving remarkable properties of the zeros of Sonin-Markov polynomials, also known as generalized Hermite polynomials.

While properties of orthogonal polynomials is a classical subject, algebraic properties of the zeros of certain orthogonal or otherwise special polynomials have been in the center of several recent developments [2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 16, 18]. The results reported in this paper generalize the statements proved in [3] to the case where the orthogonal polynomials $\{p_\nu(x)\}_{\nu=0}^{\infty}$ are generalized, rather than standard, eigenfunctions of a linear differential operator. To prove new

[1]Corresponding author, obihun@uccs.edu.

identities satisfied by the zeros of the polynomials $\{p_\nu(x)\}_{\nu=0}^{\infty}$, we generalize and relate the notions of spectral and pseudospectral matrix representations of linear differential operators used in the corresponding numerical methods for solving differential equations [3, 4, 5, 12], see definitions (4), (6) and Theorem 3.1. This generalization has applications beyond those outlined in this paper; one such application allows to simplify the process of incorporation of initial or boundary conditions into linear systems that discretize certain ODEs, see the discussion in Section 4.

A key step in the proof of the identities in the main Theorem 1.1 is to use the zeros of polynomials from the orthogonal family $\{p_\nu(x)\}_{\nu=0}^{\infty}$ as interpolation nodes in the process of construction of a generalized pseudospectral matrix representation of the differential operator associated with the family. The proposed method of generalized pseudospectral matrix representations allows us to prove identities of Theorem 1.1 analogous to those presented in [1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 18], for the case of nonclassical orthogonal polynomials that are generalized, rather than standard, eigenfunctions of a linear differential operator.

We illustrate the general result of the main Theorem 1.1 by applying it to the case of the Sonin-Markov polynomials, also known as generalized Hermite polynomials, see [14, 15] and references therein. To prove the identities satisfied by the zeros of the Sonin-Markov polynomials stated in Theorem 2.4, we compute the generalized pseudospectral matrix representations of the differential operators d/dx and d^2/dx^2 as well as the differential operator $\mathcal{D}$ associated with the Sonin-Markov family, see (15b) of (15) and Subsection 2.2, assuming that the interpolation nodes are zeros of the Sonin-Markov polynomials. The formulas for these matrix representations as well as the identities of Theorem 2.4 have been verified using programming environment MATLAB, for several particular values of the relevant paramenets (the degree N and the parameter β, see Theorem 2.4 and definitions (11), (12)).

Notation 1.1 *Here and throughout the rest of the paper N denotes a fixed integer strictly larger than 1, while n_0 is a fixed nonnegative integer. The small Greek letter ρ denotes an index that may take values N or $N + n_0$. The small Greek letter ν denotes an integer index that usually takes values $0, 1, 2 \ldots$, unless otherwise indicated. The small Latin letters n, m, j, k etc. denote integer indices that usually run from 1 to N or from 1 to $N + n_0$, see (1) and (2), thus we indicate the range of the indices each time they are used. We reserve the letter ℓ to denote polynomials in Lagrange interpolation bases.*

Let $\{p_\nu(x)\}_{\nu=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of polynomials orthogonal with respect to a measure ω and the corresponding inner product $\langle f, g\rangle = \int fg \, d\omega$. We denote the norm associated with this inner product by $\|\cdot\|$, that is, $\|f\|^2 = \int f^2 \, d\omega$. Assume that ω is a Borel measure with support on the real line satisfying the following three conditions:

(a) ω is positive;

(b) all its moments $\int x^\nu \, d\omega$ exist and are finite;

(c) ω has infinitely many points in its support $I = \operatorname{supp}\omega$.

Under the above assumptions on the measure ω, the zeros of each polynomial p_ν, $\nu \geq 1$, are real, simple and belong to the convex hull of the support of ω, see for example [11].

Let $\mathbb{P}^\nu$ denote the space of all algebraic polynomials with real coefficients of degree at most ν. Assume that for each ν, the polynomials $\{p_j(x)\}_{j=0}^{\nu}$ form a basis of $\mathbb{P}^\nu$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a linear differential operator acting on functions of one variable. Assume that $\mathcal{A}$ has the property

$$\mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}^\nu \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{\nu+n_0} \tag{1}$$

for all ν. Recall that n_0 is a fixed nonnegative integer; it does not depend on ν. For example, the differential operator $\mathcal{D} = a_0 + a_1(x)\frac{d}{dx} + \ldots + a_q(x)\frac{d^q}{dx^q}$ with $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_j(x) \in \mathbb{P}^j$ for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, q$ has property (1) with $n_0 = 0$, while the operator $x^2\mathcal{D}$ has property (1) with $n_0 = 2$.

Suppose that the orthogonal polynomials $\{p_\nu(x)\}_{\nu=0}^{\infty}$ form a system of generalized eigenfunctions for the differential operator $\mathcal{A}$:

$$\mathcal{A}p_\nu(x) = q_\nu(x)p_\nu(x), \tag{2}$$

where the generalized eigenvalues $q_\nu(x)$ are polynomials of degree at most n_0.

Let us introduce the notion of a generalized pseudospectral matrix representation of the differential operator $\mathcal{A}$. Recall that the definition of the standard pseudospectral $N \times N$ matrix representation $\tilde{A}^c$ of $\mathcal{A}$, see [3, 4, 5, 12], is motivated by a search for an exact discretization of a differential equation $\mathcal{A}u = f$, subject to some additional conditions, under the assumption that its unique solution is a polynomial $u \in \mathbb{P}^{N-1}$. More precisely, one chooses a vector $\vec{x}^{(N)} = \left(x_1^{(N)}, \ldots, x_N^{(N)}\right)$ of distinct real nodes and defines the vectors $\vec{u}^{(N)} = \pi_N u$ and $\vec{f}^{(N)} = \pi_N f$ using the isomorphism $\pi_N : \mathbb{P}^{N-1} \to \mathbb{R}^N$ defined by $\pi_N g = \left(g\left(x_1^{(N)}\right), \ldots, g\left(x_N^{(N)}\right)\right)$. The pseudospectral $N \times N$ matrix representation $\tilde{A}^c$ of the differential operator $\mathcal{A}$ is defined as the unique $N \times N$ matrix that satisfies the condition $\pi_N \mathcal{A} g = \tilde{A}^c \pi_N g$ for all $g \in \mathbb{P}^{N-1}$. Note that the superscript 'c' in the notation of the matrix $\tilde{A}^c$ stands for "collocation". It is not difficult to conclude that the matrix $\tilde{A}^c$ is given componentwise by $\tilde{A}^c_{kj} = \mathcal{A}\ell_{N-1,j}(x)\big|_{x=x_k^{(N)}}$, where $1 \leq k, j \leq N$. The last definition implies that a vector $\vec{u}^{(N)}$ solves the linear system $\tilde{A}^c\vec{u}^{(N)} = \vec{f}^{(N)}$ if and only if the polynomial $u(x) = (\pi_N)^{-1}\vec{u}^{(N)} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} u_j^{(N)}\ell_{N-1,j}(x)$ solves the ODE $\mathcal{A}u = f$, where $\{\ell_{N-1,j}(x)\}_{j=1}^{N}$ is the standard Lagrange interpolation basis with respect to the nodes $\vec{x}^{(N)}$.

Let us now generalize this notion of pseudospectral matrix representation of the differential operator $\mathcal{A}$ to take advantage of its property (1).

In addition to the interpolation nodes $\vec{x}^{(N)}$, consider another vector of distinct real nodes $\vec{x}^{(N+n_0)} = \left(x_1^{(N+n_0)}, \ldots, x_{N+n_0}^{(N+n_0)}\right)$. In short, we will work with

two vectors of nodes $\vec{x}^{(\rho)}$, where $\rho = N$ or $\rho = N + n_0$, see Notation 1.1, and the respective Lagrange interpolation bases $\{\ell_{\rho-1,j}(x)\}_{j=1}^{\rho}$. Recall that for each $j \in \{1, \ldots, \rho\}$,

$$\ell_{\rho-1,j}(x) = \frac{\psi_\rho(x)}{\psi'_\rho\left(x_j^{(\rho)}\right)\left(x - x_j^{(\rho)}\right)}, \tag{3}$$

where $\psi_\rho(x) = \left(x - x_1^{(\rho)}\right)\left(x - x_2^{(\rho)}\right)\cdots\left(x - x_\rho^{(\rho)}\right)$ is the node polynomial.

We define the generalized pseudospectral $(N+n_0)\times N$ matrix representation $A^c\left(\vec{x}^{(N)}, \vec{x}^{(N+n_0)}\right) \equiv A^c$ of the linear differential operator $\mathcal{A}$ componentwise by

$$A^c_{kj} = (\mathcal{A}\ell_{N-1,j})\left(x_k^{(N+n0)}\right), \;\; 1 \le k \le N + n_0, 1 \le j \le N, \tag{4}$$

cf. [3, 4, 5], where, as before, the superscript 'c' stands for "collocation" in the spectral collocation method for numerical solving of differential equations, also known as the pseudospectral method [12]. This definition is motivated by the following relation that involves the isomorphisms $\pi_\rho : \mathbb{P}^{\rho-1} \to \mathbb{R}^\rho$ defined by

$$\pi_\rho g = \left(g\left(x_1^{(\rho)}\right), g\left(x_2^{(\rho)}\right), \ldots, g\left(x_\rho^{(\rho)}\right)\right)^T : \tag{5}$$

$$\pi_{N+n_0}\mathcal{A}g = A^c\pi_N g$$

for all $g \in \mathbb{P}^{N-1}$. In other words, if the ODE $\mathcal{A}u = f$ subject to some additional conditions has a unique polynomial solution $u \in \mathbb{P}^{N-1}$, then the vector $\vec{u}^{(N)} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ solves the system of linear equations $A^c\vec{u}^{(N)} = \pi_{N+n_0}f$ if and only if the polynomial $u(x) = (\pi_N)^{-1}\vec{u}^{(N)} = \sum_{j=1}^N u_j^{(N)}\ell_{N-1,j}(x)$ solves the ODE $\mathcal{A}u = f$.

Using analogous motivation, we define the generalized spectral $(N+n_0)\times N$ matrix representation A^τ of the linear differential operator $\mathcal{A}$ componentwise by

$$A^\tau_{kj} = \frac{\langle \mathcal{A}p_{j-1}, p_{k-1}\rangle}{\|p_{k-1}\|^2}, \;\; 1 \le k \le N + n_0, 1 \le j \le N. \tag{6}$$

Here, the superscript 'τ' indicates that the τ-variant of the spectral method is used [12].

We prove that the $(N + n_0) \times N$ matrices A^τ and A^c satisfy the following property:

$$L^{(N+n_0-1)}A^c = A^\tau L^{(N-1)}, \tag{7}$$

where each of the two $\rho \times \rho$ matrices $L^{(\rho-1)}$ with $\rho = N$ or $\rho = N + n_0$ is the transition matrix from the orthogonal polynomial basis $\{p_j(x)\}_{j=0}^{\rho-1}$ to the Lagrange interpolation basis $\{\ell_{\rho-1,j}\}_{j=1}^{\rho}$, see Theorem 3.1 in Section 3.

Let us now assume that $x_1^{(N)}, \ldots, x_N^{(N)}$ are the zeros of the polynomial $p_N(x)$ from the orthogonal family $\{p_\nu(x)\}_{\nu=0}^\infty$, while $x_1^{(N+n_0)}, \ldots, x_{N+n_0}^{(N+n_0)}$ are the zeros of the polynomial $p_{N+n_0}(x)$. We therefore use these two sets of zeros as the nodes in the definition of the pseudospectral matrix representation A^c of the differential operator $\mathcal{A}$, see (4) and (3). In this case, each of the two matrices $L^{(\rho-1)}$, where $\rho = N$ or $\rho = N + n_0$, can be expressed in terms of the

values $p_{m-1}(x_j^{(\rho)})$ and the Christoffel numbers $\lambda_j^{(\rho-1)}$, where $1 \le m, j \le \rho$, see Theorem 3.1 .

Christoffel numbers play an important role in the proof of the main identity (9) presented in this paper, although they are eliminated from that identity in the process of inversion of the matrices $L^{(\rho-1)}$, see (34) and (43).

Recall that Christoffel numbers arise in the Gaussian quadrature numerical integration formulas. For each of the two Lagrange interpolation bases $\{\ell_{\rho-1,j}(x)\}_{j=1}^{\rho}$ with $\rho = N$ or $\rho = N + n_0$, the corresponding Christoffel numbers $\lambda_j^{(\rho-1)}$ are defined by

$$\lambda_j^{(\rho-1)} = \int \ell_{\rho-1,j}(x)\, d\omega, \quad 1 \le j \le \rho. \tag{8}$$

We note that Christoffel numbers are always positive [14].

Using property (7) of the matrix representations A^τ and A^c, we prove the following algebraic identities satisfied by the zeros of the Sonin-Markov polynomials.

Theorem 1.1 *The zeros $\vec{x}^{(N)} = \left(x_1^{(N)}, \ldots, x_N^{(N)}\right)$ of the polynomial $p_N(x)$ and the zeros $\vec{x}^{(N+n_0)} = \left(x_1^{(N+n_0)}, \ldots, x_{N+n_0}^{(N+n_0)}\right)$ of the polynomial $p_{N+n_0}(x)$ in the orthogonal polynomial family $\{p_\nu(x)\}_{\nu=0}^{\infty}$ of generalized eigenfunctions of the linear differential operator $\mathcal{A}$, see (2), satisfy the following algebraic relations for all integer m, n such that $1 \le m \le N + n_0$, $1 \le n \le N$:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^{N} A_{mk}^{c} \left(\vec{x}^{(N)}, \vec{x}^{(N+n_0)}\right) p_{n-1}\left(x_k^{(N)}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=\max\{n-n_0,1\}}^{\min\{n+n_0,N+n_0\}} A_{kn}^{\tau} p_{k-1}\left(x_m^{(N+n_0)}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where the $(N+n_0)\times N$ pseudospectral and spectral matrix representations $A^c = A^c\left(\vec{x}^{(N)}, \vec{x}^{(N+n_0)}\right) \equiv A^c$ and A^τ, respectively, are defined by (4) and (6).

Remark 1.2 *For every pair of integers n, m such that $0 \le n \le N-1$ and $1 \le m \le N + n_0$, identity (9) relates the zeros of the polynomials $p_N(x)$, $p_{N+n_0}(x)$ and $p_n(x)$ with the zeros of all the polynomials $p_k(x)$ such that the index $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, N + n_0 - 1\}$ satisfies $n - n_0 \le k \le n + n_0$.*

Remark 1.3 *The main identity of Theorem 1.1 may be recast as follows:*

$$\left[\vec{e}_m^{(N+n_0)}\right]^T A^c \vec{v}_n^{(N)} = \vec{w}_m^{(N+n_0)} A^\tau \vec{e}_n^{(N)} \tag{10}$$

for all $1 \le m \le N + n_0$ and $1 \le n \le N$, where each $\vec{v}_n^{(N)} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is a column-vector with the components $\left[\vec{v}_n^{(N)}\right]_k = p_{n-1}\left(x_k^{(N)}\right)$, each $\vec{w}_m^{(N+n_0)} \in \mathbb{R}^{N+n_0}$ is a row-vector with the components $\left[\vec{w}_m^{(N+n_0)}\right]_k = p_{k-1}\left(x_m^{(N+n_0)}\right)$ and the column-vectors $\{\vec{e}_k^{(\rho)}\}_{k=1}^{\rho}$ form the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^\rho$.

Theorem 1.1 is proved in Section 3.

In the next Section 2 we apply Theorem 1.1 to prove remarkable identities satisfied by the zeros of the nonclassical Sonin-Markov orthogonal polynomials. In Section 3, "Proofs", we elaborate on the proofs of most of the theorems of this paper, except for those that are straightforward consequences of another theorem. In Section 4 titled "Discussion and Outlook" we summarize the results proposed in this paper and discuss their importance, possible applications and further developments. Appendix A is devoted to the computation of pseudospectral matrix representations of several differential operators.

2 Application: Properties of the Zeros of the Sonin-Markov Orthogonal Polynomials

In this Section 2 we illustrate Theorem 1.1 by applying it to the case of the Sonin-Markov orthogonal polynomials, which are generalized eigenfunctions of a certain linear differential operator $\mathcal{D}$, see (15). This application requires a computation of the generalized spectral and the generalized pseudospectral matrix representations of the differential operator $\mathcal{D}$ associated with the Sonin-Markov polynomials. We thus proceed as follows. In Subsection 2.1 we define the Sonin-Markov polynomials and state their basic properties. In Subsections 2.2 and 2.3, respectively, we compute the generalized pseudospectral and the generalized spectral representations, respectively, of the differential operator $\mathcal{D}$. Finally, in Subsection 2.4 we state some remarkable algebraic properties satisfied by the zeros of the Sonin-Markov polynomials.

2.1 Definition and Basic Properties of the Sonin-Markov Polynomials

The Sonin-Markov polynomials $\{p_\nu^\beta(x)\}_{\nu=0}^\infty$, which are also known as generalized Hermite polynomials, are orthogonal on $I = (-\infty, \infty)$ with respect to the weight $w(x) = |x|^\beta e^{-x^2}$ (see [14], Sect. 2.4 and references therein). These polynomials can be expressed in terms of the generalized Laguerre polynomials as follows:

$$p_{2n}^\beta(x) = c_n L_n^\alpha(x^2), \tag{11a}$$

$$p_{2n+1}^\beta(x) = d_n x L_n^{\alpha+1}(x^2), \tag{11b}$$

where

$$\alpha = (\beta - 1)/2 \tag{12}$$

and the coefficients

$$c_n = (-1)^n \sqrt{\frac{n!}{\Gamma(n+1+\alpha)}},\ d_n = (-1)^n \sqrt{\frac{n!}{\Gamma(n+2+\alpha)}} \tag{13}$$

are chosen to make the polynomials orthonormal and the leading coefficients positive. Note that the leading coefficients K_ν of $p_\nu^\beta(x)$ are given by

$$K_{2n} = [n!\Gamma(n+1+\alpha)]^{-1/2},$$
$$K_{2n+1} = [n!\Gamma(n+2+\alpha)]^{-1/2}. \tag{14}$$

The zeros of the Sonin-Markov polynomials are distinct, real and symmetric with respect to the origin.

The Sonin-Markov polynomials satisfy the following differential equations:

$$\mathcal{D}p_\nu^\beta(x) = (\mu_\nu x^2 + \eta_\nu)p_\nu^\beta(x), \tag{15a}$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ is the linear differential operator given by

$$\mathcal{D} = x^2\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x(-2x^2 + 2\alpha + 1)\frac{d}{dx} \tag{15b}$$

and

$$\mu_\nu = -2\nu, \tag{16a}$$
$$\eta_\nu = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } \nu = 2n, \\ 2\alpha+1 \text{ if } \nu = 2n+1. \end{cases} \tag{16b}$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{D}\mathbb{P}^\nu \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{\nu+2}$, so we can apply Theorem 1.1 with $n_0 = 2$ to these polynomials.

2.2 The Generalized Pseudospectral Matrix Representation of the Sonin-Markov Differential Operator (15b)

Let $x_1^{(N)}, \ldots, x_N^{(N)}$ be the zeros of the Sonin-Markov polynomial $p_N(x) \equiv p_N^\beta(x)$ defined by (11) and let $x_1^{(N+2)}, \ldots, x_{N+2}^{(N+2)}$ be the zeros of the Sonin-Markov polynomial $p_{N+2}(x) \equiv p_{N+2}^\beta(x)$. In this subsection we find the generalized pseudospectral matrix representation $D^c\left(\vec{x}^{(N)}, \vec{x}^{(N+2)}\right) \equiv D^c$ of the Sonin-Markov differential operator (15b) with respect to the two vectors of nodes $\vec{x}^{(N)} = \left(x_1^{(N)}, \ldots, x_N^{(N)}\right)$ and $\vec{x}^{(N+2)} = \left(x_1^{(N+2)}, \ldots, x_{N+2}^{(N+2)}\right)$. To compute this representation D^c, we use definition (4) with $n_0 = 2$. In the following, we use the notation of Subsection 2.1, note the appropriate definitions of α, c_n, d_n and μ_ν, η_ν.

Let $\{\ell_{N-1,j}(x)\}_{j=1}^N$ be the Lagrange interpolation basis with respect to the nodes $\{x_j^{(N)}\}_{j=1}^N$ defined by (3) with $\rho = N$ and $\psi_N(x)$ replaced by $p_N(x)$. Let $Z^{(k)}\left(\vec{x}^{(N)}, \vec{x}^{(N+2)}\right) \equiv Z^{(k)}$ be the $(N+2)\times N$ generalized pseudospectral matrix representation of the differential operator $\frac{d^k}{dx^k}$ with respect to the two vectors of nodes $\vec{x}^{(N)}$ and $\vec{x}^{(N+2)}$. By definition (4), its components $Z_{mn}^{(k)}$ are given by

$$Z_{mn}^{(k)} = \left[\frac{d^k}{dx^k}\ell_{N-1,n}(x)\right]\Bigg|_{x=x_m^{(N+2)}}. \tag{17}$$

The generalized pseudospectral $(N+2)\times N$ matrix representation D^c of the differential operator $\mathcal{D}$ is given componentwise by

$$\begin{aligned} D^c_{mn} &= \left[\mathcal{D}\ell_{N-1,n}(x)\right]\Big|_{x=x_m^{(N+2)}} \\ &= \left[x_m^{(N+2)}\right]^2 Z^{(2)}_{mn} + x_m^{(N+2)}\left\{-2\left[x_m^{(N+2)}\right]^2 + 2\alpha + 1\right\} Z^{(1)}_{mn}, \quad (18) \end{aligned}$$

see definition (4).

By using the fact that the Sonin-Markov polynomial $p_N(x)$ satisfies differential equation (15) and the differential equation obtained by differentiation of (15) with respect to x (with $\nu = N$) , we simplify the formulas for $Z^{(k)}_{mn}$, where $k = 1, 2$, to read

$$Z^{(1)}_{mn} = \begin{cases} \frac{A_{mn}}{p'_N\left(x_n^{(N)}\right)}\left[p'_N\left(x_m^{(N+2)}\right) - A_{mn}p_N\left(x_m^{(N+2)}\right)\right] \text{ if } x_m^{(N+2)} \neq x_n^{(N)}, \\ 0 \text{ if } x_m^{(N+2)} = x_n^{(N)} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

and

$$Z^{(2)}_{mn} = \begin{cases} \frac{A_{mn}}{p'_N\left(x_n^{(N)}\right)}\left\{\left[\frac{2\left(x_m^{(N+2)}\right)^2 - 2\alpha - 1}{x_m^{(N+2)}} - 2A_{mn}\right] p'_N\left(x_m^{(N+2)}\right) \right. \\ \qquad \left. + \left[\frac{\mu_N\left(x_m^{(N+2)}\right)^2 + \eta_N}{\left(x_m^{(N+2)}\right)^2} + 2A^2_{mn}\right] p_N\left(x_m^{(N+2)}\right)\right\} \\ \qquad \text{if } x_m^{(N+2)} \neq x_n^{(N)} \text{ and } x_m^{(N+2)} \neq 0, \\ \frac{-2p'_N(0)}{p'_N\left(x_n^{(N)}\right)} A^2_{mn} \text{ if } x_m^{(N+2)} = 0 \text{ and } x_n^{(N)} \neq 0, \\ \frac{(\mu_N)+2}{2(\alpha+2)} \text{ if } x_m^{(N+2)} = x_n^{(N)} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

where A_{mn} is defined by (30).

By using the last two expressions for the components of the matrices $Z^{(1)}$ and $Z^{(2)}$ in (18), we obtain the following formulas for the components of the generalized pseudospectral matrix representation D^c:

$$\begin{aligned} D^c_{mn} &= \frac{A_{mn}}{p'_N\left(x_n^{(N)}\right)}\left[-2A_{mn}\left(x_m^{(N+2)}\right)^2 p'_N\left(x_m^{(N+2)}\right) \right. \\ &\quad + \left\{\mu_N\left(x_m^{(N+2)}\right)^2 + \eta_N + 2\left(A_{mn}\right)^2\left(x_m^{(N+2)}\right)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left.\left. - A_{mn}x_m^{(N+2)}[-2\left(x_m^{(N+2)}\right)^2 + 2\alpha + 1]\right\} p_N\left(x_m^{(N+2)}\right)\right] \\ &\quad \text{if } x_m^{(N+2)} \neq x_n^{(N)} \text{ and } x_m^{(N+2)} \neq 0, \quad (21a) \\ D^c_{mn} &= 0 \quad \text{if } x_m^{(N+2)} = 0, \quad (21b) \end{aligned}$$

where, again, A_{mn} is given by definition (30).

Remark 2.1 *If ρ is even, the roots $\{x_j^{(\rho)}\}_{j=1}^{\rho}$ of the Sonin-Markov polynomial $p_\rho(x) \equiv p_\rho^\beta(x)$ are all distinct from zero because the roots of every generalized Laguerre polynomial are all distinct from zero, see definition* (11a)*. Therefore, case* (21b) *does not apply to even values of N.*

Remark 2.2 *It is known that two generalized Laguerre polynomials $L_n^\alpha(x)$ and $L_{n+1}^\alpha(x)$ do not have common roots [14]. But then, by definition* (11)*, two Sonin-Markov polynomials $p_N^\beta(x)$ and $p_{N+2}^\beta(x)$ have a common root $\hat{x}$ if and only if N is odd and $\hat{x} = 0$. This is why formulas* (19) *and* (20) *include the case where $x_m^{(N+2)} = x_n^{(N)} = 0$ (wich is possible for odd N but not for even N), but do not include the case where $x_m^{(N+2)} = x_n^{(N)} \neq 0$ (the latter case is not possible).*

2.3 The Generalized Spectral Matrix Representation of the Sonin-Markov Differential Operator (15b)

The generalized spectral $(N+2)\times N$ matrix representation D^τ of the operator $\mathcal{D}$ is defined by formula (6). To find an explicit formula for the components of D^τ, we will employ recurrence relations satisfied by the Sonin-Markov polynomials.

Recall that the generalized Laguerre polynomials satisfy certain three-term recurrence relations [14], which imply

$$x^2 p_\nu^\beta(x) = \alpha_{\nu+2} p_{\nu+2}^\beta(x) + \beta_\nu p_\nu^\beta(x) + \gamma_{\nu-2} p_{\nu-2}^\beta(x) \tag{22}$$

with

$$\alpha_\nu = \begin{cases} [n(n+\alpha)]^{1/2} & \text{if } \nu = 2n, \\ [n(n+1+\alpha)]^{1/2} & \text{if } \nu = 2n+1, \end{cases} \tag{23a}$$

$$\beta_\nu = \nu + \alpha + 1, \tag{23b}$$

$$\gamma_\nu = \begin{cases} [(n+1)(n+1+\alpha)]^{1/2} & \text{if } \nu = 2n, \\ [(n+1)(n+2+\alpha)]^{1/2} & \text{if } \nu = 2n+1, \end{cases} \tag{23c}$$

where $p_k^\beta(x)$ are assumed to equal zero if $k < 0$. Using recurrence relations (22), we obtain

$$D_{nj}^\tau = \eta_{n-1}\delta_{nj} + \mu_{j-1}\left(\alpha_{n-1}\delta_{n,j+2} + \beta_{n-1}\delta_{n,j} + \gamma_{n-1}\delta_{n,j-2}\right) \tag{24}$$

where $n = 1, 2, \ldots, N+2$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$, and the coefficients μ_ν, η_ν and $\alpha_\nu, \beta_\nu, \gamma_\nu$ are given by (16) and (23).

Remark 2.3 *The coefficients α_ν and β_ν defined in* (23a) *and* (23b)*, respectively, must not be confused with the parameters α and β in the definition of the Sonin-Markov polynomials, see* (11) *and* (12)*.*

For completeness, let us mention that Sonin-Markov polynomials also satisfy the three-term recurrence relation

$$xp_\nu^\beta(x) = \tilde{b}_{\nu+1}p_{\nu+1}^\beta(x) + \tilde{d}_{\nu-1}p_{\nu-1}^\beta(x) \tag{25}$$

with the constants

$$\tilde{b}_{\nu+1} = \begin{cases} c_n d_n \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+2)}{n!} & \text{if } \nu = 2n, \\ -c_{n+1} d_n \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+2)}{n!} & \text{if } \nu = 2n+1 \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

and

$$\tilde{d}_{\nu-1} = \begin{cases} -c_n d_{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}{(n-1)!} & \text{if } \nu = 2n \text{ and } n \geq 1, \\ c_n d_n \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+2)}{n!} & \text{if } \nu = 2n+1 \text{ and } n \geq 1, \\ c_0 d_0 \Gamma(\alpha+2) & \text{if } \nu = 1, \end{cases} \tag{27}$$

where $p_j(x) = 0$ if $j < 0$.

2.4 Algebraic Properties of the Zeros of the Sonin-Markov Polynomials

Having found the generalized pseudospectral and the generalized spectral matrix representations D^c and D^τ, respectively, of the differential operator $\mathcal{D}$, we apply Theorem 1.1 to the case of the Sonin-Markov polynomials. We thus obtain the following algebraic identities satisfied by their zeros.

Theorem 2.4 *For every pair of integers n, m such that $1 \leq n \leq N$ and $1 \leq m \leq N+2$, the zeros $x_1^{(N+2)}, x_2^{(N+2)}, \ldots, x_{N+2}^{(N+2)}$ of the Sonin-Markov polynomial $p_{N+2}(x) \equiv p_{N+2}^\beta(x)$ and the zeros $x_1^{(N)}, \ldots, x_N^{(N)}$ of the Sonin-Markov polynomial $p_N(x) \equiv p_N^\beta(x)$ satisfy the following relations.*

If $x_m^{(N+2)} = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\eta_{n-1} + \mu_{n-1}\beta_{n-1}\right) p_{n-1}\left(0\right) + \mu_{n-1}\alpha_{n+1}p_{n+1}\left(0\right) \\ &+\mu_{n-1}\gamma_{n-3}p_{n-3}\left(0\right) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where $p_j(x) = 0$ if $j < 0$.

If $x_m^{(N+2)} \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ x_m^{(N+2)} \neq x_k^{(N)}}}^{N} \frac{A_{mk}\, p_{n-1}\left(x_k^{(N)}\right)}{p_N'\left(x_k^{(N)}\right)} \Bigg(-2A_{mk}\left(x_m^{(N+2)}\right)^2 p_N'\left(x_m^{(N+2)}\right) \\ &+\Big\{\mu_N\left(x_m^{(N+2)}\right)^2 + \eta_N + 2\left(A_{mk}\right)^2\left(x_m^{(N+2)}\right)^2 \\ &-A_{mk}x_m^{(N+2)}[-2\left(x_m^{(N+2)}\right)^2 + 2\alpha + 1]\Big\} p_N\left(x_m^{(N+2)}\right)\Bigg) \\ &= \left(\eta_{n-1} + \mu_{n-1}\beta_{n-1}\right) p_{n-1}\left(x_m^{(N+2)}\right) \\ &+\mu_{n-1}\alpha_{n+1}p_{n+1}\left(x_m^{(N+2)}\right) + \mu_{n-1}\gamma_{n-3}p_{n-3}\left(x_m^{(N+2)}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where

$$A_{mk} = \left[x_m^{(N+2)} - x_k^{(N)}\right]^{-1} \tag{30}$$

and, as before, $p_j(x) = 0$ *if* $j < 0$.

3 Proofs

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on the following result.

Theorem 3.1 *Let* $\mathcal{A}$ *be a linear differential operator that satisfies condition* (1). *Let* $\{\ell_{N-1,j}(x)\}_{j=1}^{N}$ *be the Lagrange interpolation basis of* $\mathbb{P}^{N-1}$ *constructed using the* N *distinct real nodes* $x_1^{(N)}, \ldots, x_N^{(N)}$ *and let* $\{\ell_{N+n_0-1,j}(x)\}_{j=1}^{N+n_0}$ *be the Lagrange interpolation basis of* $\mathbb{P}^{N+n_0-1}$ *constructed using the* $(N+n_0)$ *distinct real nodes* $x_1^{(N+n_0)}, \ldots, x_{N+n_0}^{(N+n_0)}$, *see* (3). *If the* $(N+n_0) \times N$ *matrices* A^c, A^τ *are defined by* (4) *and* (6), *respectively, while the two* $\rho \times \rho$ *matrices* $L^{(\rho-1)}$ *with* $\rho = N$ *or* $\rho = N + n_0$ *are defined componentwise by*

$$L_{mj}^{(\rho-1)} = \frac{\langle \ell_{\rho-1,j}, p_{m-1} \rangle}{\|p_{m-1}\|^2}, 1 \le m, j \le \rho, \tag{31}$$

then

$$L^{(N+n_0-1)} A^c = A^\tau L^{(N-1)}. \tag{32}$$

Moreover, if the interpolation nodes $x_1^{(\rho)}, \ldots, x_\rho^{(\rho)}$ *are the distinct real zeros of the polynomial* $p_\rho(x)$ *from the orthogonal family* $\{p_\nu(x)\}_{\nu=0}^{\infty}$ *introduced in Section 1, then the transition matrix* $L^{(\rho-1)}$ *is given by*

$$L_{mj}^{(\rho-1)} = \frac{p_{m-1}(x_j^{(\rho)})}{\|p_{m-1}\|^2} \lambda_j^{(\rho-1)}, \ 1 \le m, j \le \rho, \tag{33}$$

where $\rho \in \{N, N+n_0\}$ *and* $\lambda_m^{(\rho-1)}$ *are the Christoffel numbers defined by* (8). *In this case, the inverses of* $L^{(\rho-1)}$ *are given componentwise by*

$$\left\{ \left[L^{(\rho-1)}\right]^{-1} \right\}_{mj} = p_{j-1}\left(x_m^{(\rho)}\right), \ 1 \le m, j \le \rho. \tag{34}$$

Remark 3.2 *Let us note that* $L^{(N-1)}$ *is the transition matrix from the polynomial basis* $\{p_m(x)\}_{m=0}^{N-1}$ *to the basis* $\{\ell_{N-1,m}(x)\}_{m=1}^{N}$ *of* $\mathbb{P}^{N-1}$, *while* $L^{(N+n_0-1)}$ *is the transition matrix from the polynomial basis* $\{p_m(x)\}_{m=0}^{N+n_0-1}$ *to the basis* $\{\ell_{N+n_0-1,m}(x)\}_{m=1}^{N+n_0}$ *of* $\mathbb{P}^{N+n_0-1}$.

The proof of this theorem is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [3]. It is provided below for the convenience of the reader.

Proof of Theorem 3.1.

First, let us prove property (32). Let u be a polynomial of degree $N-1$. Then

$$u(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} u_j^c \, \ell_{N-1,j}(x) \quad \text{and, on the other hand} \tag{35}$$

$$u(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} u_j^\tau \, p_{j-1}(x), \tag{36}$$

where the coefficients

$$u_j^c = u\left(x_j^{(N)}\right) \quad \text{and} \tag{37}$$

$$u_j^\tau = \frac{\langle u, p_{j-1}\rangle}{\|p_{j-1}\|^2}, \tag{38}$$

respectively, are the components of the column-vectors $\vec{u}^{\,c}$ and $\vec{u}^{\,\tau}$, respectively, and $1 \le j \le N$. To prove relation (32), we will show that $\vec{u}^{\,\tau} = L^{(N-1)}\vec{u}^{\,c}$ and $L^{(N+n_0-1)}A^c\vec{u}^{\,c} = A^\tau\vec{u}^{\,\tau}$.

Let us expand

$$\ell_{N-1,j}(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{N} L_{mj}^{(N-1)} p_{m-1}(x), \tag{39}$$

where the coefficients $L_{mj}^{(N-1)}$ are given by (31). Upon a substitution of (39) into (35), we obtain

$$\vec{u}^{\,\tau} = L^{(N-1)}\vec{u}^{\,c}. \tag{40}$$

To obtain the equality $L^{(N+n_0-1)}A^c\vec{u}^{\,c} = A^\tau\vec{u}^{\,\tau}$, we first notice that because $u \in \mathbb{P}^{N-1}$ and the operator $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies $\mathcal{A}\mathbb{P}^{N-1} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{N+n_0-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}u(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^{N+n_0} [A^c \ \vec{u}^{\,c}]_j \ \ell_{N+n_0-1,j}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N+n_0} [A^c \ \vec{u}^{\,c}]_j \sum_{m=1}^{N+n_0} L_{mj}^{(N+n_0-1)} \ p_{m-1}(x) \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{N+n_0} [L^{(N+n_0-1)}A^c \ \vec{u}^{\,c}]_m \ p_{m-1}(x).
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}u(x) &= \mathcal{A}\sum_{j=1}^{N} u_j^\tau p_{j-1}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} u_j^\tau \mathcal{A}p_{j-1}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} u_j^\tau \sum_{m=1}^{N+n_0} A_{mj}^\tau p_{m-1}(x) \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{N+n_0} [A^\tau\vec{u}^{\,\tau}]_m p_{m-1}(x).
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

By comparing expansions (41) and (42), we obtain $L^{(N+n_0-1)}A^c\vec{u}^{\,c} = A^\tau\vec{u}^{\,\tau}$. Because $\vec{u}^{\,\tau} = L^{(N-1)}\vec{u}^{\,c}$, we conclude that $L^{(N+n_0-1)}A^c = A^\tau L^{(N-1)}$ and so finish the proof of relation (32).

Second, let us assume that $x_1^{(\rho)}, \ldots, x_\rho^{(\rho)}$ are the zeros of the polynomial $p_\rho(x)$, where $\rho = N$ or $\rho = N + n_0$. Let us prove that the transition matrix $L^{(\rho-1)}$ is given componentwise by (33). The Gaussian rule for approximate integration with respect to the measure ω based on these nodes $x_1^{(\rho)}, \ldots, x_\rho^{(\rho)}$ has degree of exactness $2\rho-1$, see, for example, Theorem 5.1.2 of [14]. Therefore, for the polynomial $\ell_{\rho-1,j}(x)p_{m-1}(x)$ of degree $\rho - 1 + m - 1 \leq 2\rho - 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|p_{m-1}\|^2 L_{mj}^{(\rho-1)} &= \int \ell_{N-1,j}(x)p_{m-1}(x)\, d\omega \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\rho} \ell_{N-1,j}(x_n^{(\rho)})p_{m-1}(x_n^{(\rho)})\lambda_n^{(\rho-1)} = p_{m-1}(x_j^{(\rho)})\lambda_j^{(\rho-1)}, \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

which implies (33). By applying the Gaussian rule to the polynomial $p_{m-1}(x)p_{n-1}(x)$, where $0 \leq m, n \leq N$, we obtain

$$\delta_{mn} = \int \frac{p_{m-1}(x)p_{n-1}(x)}{\|p_{m-1}\|^2} d\omega = \sum_{j=1}^{\rho} p_{n-1}(x_j^{(\rho)})L_{mj}^{(\rho-1)}, \tag{44}$$

which implies (34). ■

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Theorem 1.1 is a straightforward consequence of identity (32) rewritten as $\left\{A^c \left[L^{(N-1)}\right]^{-1}\right\}_{mn} = \left\{\left[L^{(N+n_0-1)}\right]^{-1} A^\tau\right\}_{mn}$, where $1 \leq m \leq N + n_0$ and $1 \leq n \leq N$, and formula (34) in Theorem 3.1.

We note that the index $k \in \{1, \ldots, N + n_0\}$ in the sum from the right hand side of identity (9) ranges from $n - n_0$ to $n + n_0$ rather than from 1 to $N + n_0$. This is due to the following consideration. Because the polynomial family $\{p_\nu(x)\}_{\nu=0}^{\infty}$ is orthogonal and has the property that $\{p_j(x)\}_{j=0}^{\nu}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{P}^\nu$ for each ν, this family must satisfy a three-term recurrence relation

$$xp_\nu(x) = a_{\nu,\nu+1}p_{\nu+1}(x) + a_{\nu,\nu}p_\nu(x) + a_{\nu,\nu-1}p_{\nu-1}(x), \tag{45}$$

where $a_{\nu,k}$ are constants that equal zero if $k < 0$ or k is outside of the range $\{\nu - 1, \nu, \nu + 1\}$ [14]. From the last recurrence relation we derive

$$q_\nu(x)p_\nu(x) = \sum_{k=\nu-n_0}^{\nu+n_0} b_{\nu,k}p_k(x), \tag{46}$$

where $b_{\nu,k}$ are constants that equal zero if $k < 0$. Thus, for all integer n, k such that $1 \leq k \leq N + n_0$ and $1 \leq n \leq N$,

$$A_{kn}^\tau = \frac{\langle q_{n-1}p_{n-1}, p_{k-1}\rangle}{\|p_{k-1}\|^2} = 0$$

if the index k is outside of the range $\{n - n_0, \ldots, n + n_0\}$. ■

4 Discussion and Outlook

The identities of the main Theorem 1.1 are derived using a very special *exact* discretization of the differential equation

$$\mathcal{A}p_N(x) = q_N(x)p_N(x), \tag{47}$$

compare with (2). This discretization is constructed using the fact that ODE (47) has a *polynomial* solution $p_N(x)$, where $p_N(x)$ is a member of the nonclassical orthogonal polynomial family $\{p_\nu(x)\}_{\nu=0}^{\infty}$. Because the differential operator $\mathcal{A}$ maps $\mathbb{P}^N$ to $\mathbb{P}^{N+n_0}$, see property (1), we choose to represent the operator by a $(N+n_0)\times N$ matrix $A^c\left(\vec{x}^{(N)},\vec{x}^{(N+n_0)}\right)\equiv A^c$ defined by (4) in terms of *two* vectors of interpolation nodes, $\vec{x}^{(N)}$ and $\vec{x}^{(N+n_0)}$. We thus *generalize* the notion of pseudospectral matrix representations of a linear differential operator.

This generalization can be utilized to resolve the issues related to the incorporation of the initial or boundary conditions into pseudpsectral methods for solving a linear ODE $\mathcal{L}u = f$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is a linear differential operator, see [4, 5]. For example, if the differential operator $\mathcal{L}$ has the property $\mathcal{L}\mathbb{P}^\nu \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{\nu-k_0}$, where k_0 is a positive integer, it is beneficial to use the generalized pseudospectral $N\times(N-k_0)$ matrix representation L^c of the differential operator $\mathcal{L}$ with respect to two vectors of nodes $\vec{x}^{(N)}\in\mathbb{R}^N$ and $\vec{x}^{(N-k_0)}\in\mathbb{R}^{N-k_0}$. Of course, L^c is defined componentwise by $L^c_{kj} = (\mathcal{L}\ell_{N-1,j})\left(x_k^{(N-k0)}\right),\ \ 1\le k\le N-k_0, 1\le j\le N$, compare with (4), where $\{\ell_{N-1,j}(x)\}_{j=1}^N$ is the standard Lagrange interpolation basis with respect to the nodes $\vec{x}^N$.

We can approximate the ODE $\mathcal{L}u = f$ by the $N\times(N-k_0)$ system of linear equations $L^c\vec{u}^{(N)} = \vec{f}^{(N-k_0)}$, where $\vec{f}^{(N-k_0)} = \left(f\left(x_1^{(N-k_0)}\right),\ldots,f\left(x_{N-k_0}^{(N-k_0)}\right)\right)$, compare with (5). The linear system $L^c\vec{u}^{(N)} = \vec{f}^{(N-k_0)}$ for the N components of $\vec{u}^{(N)}$ consists of $N-k_0$ linear equations, which allows to easily incorporate k_0 boundary or initial conditions into the system. Once the solution $\vec{u}^{(N)}$ of this augmented linear system is found, an approximate solution of the ODE $\mathcal{L}u = f$ is given by $u(x)\approx(\pi_N)^{-1}\vec{u}^{(N)} = \sum_{j=1}^N u_j^{(N)}\ell_{N-1,j}(x)$, where $\{\ell_{N-1,j}(x)\}_{j=1}^N$ is the standard Lagrange interpolation basis with respect to the nodes $\vec{x}^N$.

The ODE (47) may also be discretized using only one vector of nodes $\vec{x}^{(N+n_0)}$ and the standard pseudospectral $(N+n_0)\times(N+n_0)$ matrix representation $\hat{A}^c$ of the differential operator $\mathcal{A}$ defined componentwise by

$$\hat{A}^c_{kj} = \mathcal{A}\ell_{N+n_0-1,j}(x)\big|_{x=x_k^{(N+n_0)}},\ \ 1\le k,j\le N+n_0, \tag{48}$$

see [3] and compare with (4). Indeed, in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we may choose to represent the polynomial $u\in\mathbb{P}^{N-1}$ in terms of the Lagrange interpolation basis $\{\ell_{N+n_0-1,j}(x)\}_{j=1}^{N+n_0}$ or the basis $\{p_{j-1}(x)\}_{j=1}^{N+n_0}$, see (35) and (36). This modification allows to prove the relation

$$L^{(N+n_0-1)}\hat{A}^c = \hat{A}^\tau L^{(N+n_0-1)}, \tag{49}$$

where the standard spectral $(N+n_0)\times(N+n_0)$ matrix representation $\hat{A}^\tau$ is defined by

$$\hat{A}^\tau_{kj} = \frac{\langle \mathcal{A}p_{j-1}, p_{k-1}\rangle}{\|p_{k-1}\|^2}, \quad 1 \le k, j \le N+n_0. \tag{50}$$

Let us assume again that $\vec{x}^{(N+n_0)}$ are the zeros of the polynomial p_{N+n_0}. Then relation (49) rewritten as $\left\{\hat{A}^c\left[L^{(N+n_0-1)}\right]^{-1}\right\}_{mn} = \left\{\left[L^{(N+n_0-1)}\right]^{-1}\hat{A}^\tau\right\}_{mn}$ together with expression (34) for the inverse of the transition matrices $L^{(N+n_0-1)}$ yield the identities

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N+n_0} \hat{A}^c_{mk}(\vec{x}^{(N+n_0)})p_{n-1}\left(x_k^{(N+n_0)}\right) = \sum_{j=\max\{1,n-n_0\}}^{\min\{N+n_0,n+n_0\}} \hat{A}^\tau_{jn}p_{j-1}\left(x_m^{(N+n_0)}\right), \tag{51}$$

which hold for all integer m, n such that $1 \le m, n \le N+n_0$. Given a fixed integer n such that $0 \le n \le N+n_0-1$, the last identity relates the zeros of the polynomials $p_{N+n_0}(x)$ and $p_n(x)$ with the zeros of all the polynomials $p_j(x)$ such that the integer index $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, N+n_0-1\}$ satisfies $n-n_0 \le j \le n+n_0$.

The set of identities (51) is a straighforward generalization of Theorem 1.1 in [3], thus it is not the main focus of this paper. We invite the reader to apply identities (51) to the Sonin-Markov polynomials, replacing $\hat{A}^c$ and $\hat{A}^\tau$ with $\hat{D}^c$ and $\hat{D}^\tau$, respectively, the latter being matrix representations of the differential operator $\mathcal{D}$ associated with the Sonin-Markov family, see (15b). The pseudospectral matrix representation $\hat{D}^c$ can be found using definition (48) and the techniques outlined in Appendix A of [3]. The spectral matrix representation $\hat{D}^\tau$ can be found using definition (50) and recurrence relations (22).

In summary, the method of generalized pseudospectral matrix represenetations proposed in this paper can be utilized to prove remarkable identities satisfied by nonclassical orthogonal polynomials that are generalized, rather than standard, eigenfunctions of linear differential operators. The proposed generalized matrix representations of linear differential operators are quite useful in the process of incorporation of initial or boundary conditions into a discretization of a linear ODE via a pseudospectral method. The last application deserves further exploration involving numerical tests, which the authors may pursue in the near future. Other interesting developments of the method proposed in this paper may involve its generalization to exceptional orthogonal polynomials [13, 17].

5 Acknowledgements

This project was supported by a grant awarded to O. Bihun by the Committee on Research and Creative Works (CRCW) of the University of Colorado, Colorado Springs.

References

[1] Ahmed, S., Bruschi, M., Calogero, F., Olshanetsky, M.A., Perelomov, A.M.: Properties of the zeros of the classical polynomials and of the Bessel functions. Il Nuovo Cimento 49(2), 173-198, (1979).

[2] Alici, H., Taşeli, H.: Unification of Stieltjes-Calogero type relations for the zeros of classical orthogonal polynomials. Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., 38, Issue 14, 3118-3129 (2015).

[3] Bihun O.: New properties of the zeros of Krall polynomials. Submitted, arXiv:1608.03873 [math.CA].

[4] Bihun, O., Bren, A., Dyrud, M., Heysse, K.: Discrete approximations of differential equations via trigonometric interpolation. European Physical J. Plus, 126, 97-108 (2011).

[5] Bihun, O., Prytula, M.: Rank of projection-algebraic representations of some differential operators. Mat. Stud., **35**, No.1, 9-21 (2011).

[6] Bihun, O., Calogero F.: Properties of the zeros of generalized hypergeometric polynomials. J. Math. Analysis Appl., 419, Issue 2, 1076-1094 (2014).

[7] Bihun, O., Calogero, F.: Properties of the zeros of the polynomials belonging to the Askey scheme. Lett. Math. Phys., **104**, Issue 12, 571-1588 (2014).

[8] Bihun, O., Calogero, F.: Properties of the zeros of generalized basic hypergeometric polynomials. J. Math. Phys., 56 , 112701, 1-15 (2015).

[9] Bihun, O., Calogero, F.: Properties of the zeros of the polynomials belonging to the q-Askey scheme. J. Math. Analysis Appl., 433, No. 1, 525-542 (2016).

[10] Driver, K., Jordaan, K., Mbuyi, N.: Interlacing of the zeros of Jacobi polynomials with different parameters. Numer. Algor. 49, 143-152, (2008).

[11] Durán, A.J.: Exceptional orthogonal polynomials via Krall discrete polynomials. Lecture notes, OPSF Summer Workshop 2016, University of Maryland, College Park (to be published).

[12] Funaro, D.: Polynomial Approximations of Differential Equations. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1992).

[13] Gómez-Ullate, D., Grandati, Y., Milson, R.: Rational extensions of the quantum harmonic oscillator and exceptional Hermite polynomials. J. Phys. A, 47, 015203 (2014).

[14] Mastroianni, G., Milovanović, G.: Interpolation Processes: Basic Theory and Applications. Springer (2008).

[15] Occorsio, D., Russo, M. G.: Extended Lagrange interpolation on the real line. Journal of Comp. and App. Math., 259, 25-34 (2014).

[16] Segura, J., Interlacing of the zeros of contiguous hypergeometric functions. Numer. Algor., 49, 387-407 (2008).

[17] Odake, S., Sasaki, R., Exactly solvable quantum mechanics and infinite families of multi-indexed orthogonal polynomials. Phys. Lett. B, 702,164-170 (2011).

[18] Sasaki, R., Perturbations around the zeros of classical orthogonal polynomials. J. Math. Phys., 56, 042106 (2015).